

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORIGINAL

2000 APR 12 A 10: 03

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORACIONS COMMISSION DOCKETED CARL J. KUNASEK **CHAIRMAN** APR 1 2 2000 JAMES M. IRVIN COMMISSIONER DOCKETED BY WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER

AZ CORP COMMISSION COCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC. A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-99-0105

JOINT FILING OF THE COMMISSION STAFF, RUCO AND AT&T ON TEST YEAR UPDATE ISSUES

At the April 3, 2000 Procedural Conference, U S WEST Communications, Inc. ("US WEST" or "Company") was ordered to update its test year to reflect a year-end of 12/31/99 and to submit revised schedules (with the exception of an updated RCND Study) on or before May 2, 2000. To facilitate the expeditious processing of this case and minimize the burdens associated with further discovery to the extent possible, the parties were ordered to continue their negotiations to identify areas where the use of existing data would be appropriate. The Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission Staff"), the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") and AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. ("AT&T") (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Joint Commenters") hereby submit this joint filing identifying areas where they believe use of existing data would be appropriate and an update by the Company would be of limited value.

The Commission Staff, RUCO and AT&T¹ would agree to the use of existing data to the extent identified below:

27

28

Staff has had discussions with U S WEST on these issues. U S WEST's agrees with item 1. U S WEST disagrees with item 5. As far as items 2, 3, 4 and 6, U S WEST has not ruled these out as possible areas for stipulation, but is still in the process of examining these issues.

- 1. Existing testimony need only be re-filed to the extent that it addresses any numbers updated to reflect 12/31/99 and issues arising therefrom.
- 2. Proposed tariffs need only be updated to the extent any new rate changes are being made or proposed.
- 3. Cost studies² and analyses supportive of proposed rate design need not be resubmitted except the Company should be required to supply revised billing determinants and proposed rates so parties can verify the Company's revenue calculation.
- 4. Cash working capital lead-lag studies need not be updated. The lag day values already derived by U S WEST and reviewed by the parties can be applied in the new test period and adjusted, as necessary.
- 5. Fair value rate base need not be updated. The Joint Commenters would be willing to stipulate to fair value if no additional revenue requirement impact above the weighted cost of capital/original cost rate-base is associated with fair value.
- 6. Regulation R-14 schedules and supporting work-papers need only be resubmitted to the extent there are changes due to the test year update.

The Commission Staff, AT&T and RUCO also desire to comment on three other issues. First, U S WEST was ordered to use the depreciation lives and rates that it believes have been ordered by the Commission. While the parties do not object to this approach as long as the issue is then subject to review and a final determination in the rate case, the existing record in the Depreciation Docket should be incorporated into this docket. An extensive record has been developed on U S WEST's requested depreciation lives and rates and fairness and expediency dictates that the full record be utilized and considered when a final determination is made on the depreciation issue. This is particularly important since U S WEST continues to misrepresent Staff's points of truncation as Staff's recommended average projection lives on the seven technology

Updated cost studies will be required if the sale of exchanges to Citizens Rural Utilities Company is accounted for in this proceeding. In the event that this issue is not addressed at this time since it would be considered a post-test year adjustment, parties reserve the right to request such updated cost studies due to the raising of and relevance of this issue in this proceeding.

27

28

accounts even though the extensive record developed since Decision 61945 clearly establishes that Staff never proposed to substitute its average service lives with its points of truncation.

Second, the Commission Staff and AT&T believe that the Commission must take into account the proposed transfer by U S WEST of the 38 rural wire centers to Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc. This transaction is potentially of such magnitude that the Commission simply cannot ignore it. ³ Third, any Qwest merger costs recorded in 1999 need to be segregated.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 12th day of April, 2000.

Maureen A. Scott
Christopher C. Kempley
Legal Division

1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Telephone: (602) 542-6022

Facsimile: (602) 542-4870 e-mail: maureenscott@cc.state.az.us

Attorneys for the Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission

Scott Wakefield
2828 N. Central Avenue, State 1200

M45

Wolters

Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 279-5659 Facsimile: (602) 285-0350

Attorneys for the Residential Utility Consumer Office

Richard S. Wolters

1875 Lawrence Street, Suite 1575

Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 298-6741 Facsimile: (303) 298-6301

Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc.

1 2	The ORIGINAL and fifteen Copies of the foregoing were Filed this 12 th day of April, 2000
3	With:
4	Docket Control
5	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street
6	Phoenix, AZ 85007
7	COPIES of the foregoing
8	Were mailed/hand delivered This 12 th day of April, 2000 To:
9	Timothy Berg
10	Theresa Dwyer FENNEMORE CRAIG
11	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
12	Attorneys for U S WEST Communications, Inc.
13	Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel RUCO
14	2828 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1022
15	Donald A. Low, Senior Attorney
16	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. 8140 Ward Parkway – 5E
17	Kansas City, MO 64114
18	Steven J. Duffy RIDGE & ISAACSON, P.C.
.19	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 432 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
20	Raymond S. Heyman
21	Randall H. Warner ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF PLC
22	Two Arizona Center 400 North 5 th Street, Suite 1000
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Arizona Payphone Association
24	Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.
25	General Attorney, Regulatory Law Office U.S. Army Legal Services Agency
26	Department of the Army 901 N. Stuart Street, Suite 700
27	Arlington, VA 22203-1837
28	

1	Richard Lee
2	SNAVELY, KING & MAJOROS O'Connor & Lee, Inc.
3	1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 410 Washington, DC 20005
4	Thomas H. Campbell
5	LEWIS AND ROCA 40 N. Central Avenue
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for MCI Telecommunications
7	Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.
8	Thomas F. Dixon MCI WORLDCOM
9	707 17th Street, Suite 3900 Denver, Colorado 80202
10	Attorneys for MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc
11	Maria Arias-Chapleau
12	Richard S. Wolters AT&T
13	1875 Lawrence Street, Suite 1575 Denver, Colorado 80202
14	Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc.
15	Patricia vanMidde
16	AT&T 2800 North Central, Room 828 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
17	Diane Bacon, Legislative Director
18	COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA 5818 North 7 th Street, Suite 206
19	Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811
20	Craig Marks CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY
21	2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1660 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
22	J.E. & B.V. McGillivray
23	300 S. McCormick Prescott, Arizona 86303
24	
25	Jeffrey W Crockett SNELL & WILMER
26	One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001
27	•••
28	···

1	
1	Michael Patten
2	BROWN & BAIN, P.A. 2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
3	P.O. Box 400 Phoenix, Arizona 85001-0400
4	Douglas Hsiao
5	RHYTHMS LINKS, INC. 6933 Revere Parkway Englewood, Colorado 80112
6	
7	Jim Scheltema BLUMFIELD & COHEN 1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Suite 300
8	Washington, DC 20036
9	Albert Sterman
10	ARIZONA CONSUMERS COUNCIL 2849 E. 8 th Street
11	Tucson, Arizona 85716
12	Martin A. Aronson William D. Cleaveland
13	MORRILL & ARONSON, P.L.C. One East Camelback, Suite 340
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-1648
15	D
16	By: Lisa R. Pearce
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	